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#### Abstract

RÉSUMÉ. Dans cet article, nous montrons, en utilisant des arguments élémentaires ainsi qu'un résultat sur l'approximation diophantienne, que l'équation donnée dans le titre a au plus $6 \log |3.2 D| / \log k+8$ solutions $(x, n)$.


#### Abstract

In this note, using elementary arguments and a result of Diophantine approximation, we prove that the Diophantine equation in the title has at most $6 \log |3.2 D| / \log k+8$ solutions $(x, n)$.


## 1. Introduction

The Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+7=2^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Ramanujan-Nagell equation. In 1960, Nagell [11] proved that the only positive integer solutions to equation (1) are

$$
(x, n)=(1,3),(3,4),(5,5),(11,7),(181,15) .
$$

The Generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation is the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+D=k^{n}, \quad \text { with } x \geq 1, \quad n \geq 1 \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(D, k)=1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The literature on the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation is very rich. One aspect of the study of equation (2) is to determine the integer solutions $(x, k, n)$. In 1850, Lebesgue [8] proved that the above equation has no solutions when $D=1$. In 1965, Chao Ko [4] proved that the only solution of equation (2) with $D=-1$ is $x=3$ and $k=2$. J.H.E. Cohn [5] solved the above equation for several values of the parameter $D$ in the range $1 \leq D \leq 100$. Some of the remaining values of $D$ in that range were covered by Mignotte and De Weger in [10], while the remaining ones were considered in the recent paper [3]. Recently, several authors have become interested in the case when only the prime factors of $D$ are specified. For example, the cases when $D$ is a fixed product of a few powers of primes were studied. See [1], [2], and [9] for the recent surveys on this type of equations.
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In this paper, $D$ is a nonzero integer and $k$ is a positive integer. We consider the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-D=k^{n}, \quad \text { with } x \geq 1, \quad n \geq 1 \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(D, k)=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $N(D, k)$ the number of solutions of equation (3). One can see, for example, [7] for a history on $N(D, k)$. In [7], Le proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\omega(D)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of $|D|$. Then

$$
N(D, k) \leq \begin{cases}2^{\omega(D)+1} & \text { if } D<0  \tag{4}\\ 2^{\omega(D)+1}+1 & \text { if } D>0\end{cases}
$$

The aim of this paper is to sharpen Le's result by using Diophantine approximations and properties on continued fractions to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. There are at most 8 solutions $(x, n)$ satisfying $k^{n}>4^{5} D^{6}$.
From Theorem 1.2, we deduce the following result.
Corollary 1.3. We have $N(D, k) \leq \frac{6 \log |3.2 D|}{\log k}+8$.

## 2. Lemmas

Let us prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. For $j=1,2,3$, let $\left(x_{j}, n_{j}\right)$ be any three solutions of (3) such that $n_{1}<n_{2}<n_{3}$ and $2 \nmid n_{j}$. Suppose that $k^{n_{1}}>4^{5} D^{6}$. Then

$$
n_{3}>n_{2}+\frac{2}{3} n_{1}
$$

Proof. From equation (3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{x_{2}}{k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}}-\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}}\right| & =\left|\frac{x_{2}}{k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}}+\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}}\right|^{-1} \cdot \frac{|D|}{k^{n_{2}-n_{1}}} \\
& <\frac{|D|}{\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}} k^{n_{2}-n_{1}}} \\
& <\frac{1}{3.2 k^{n_{1} / 3}\left(k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}\right)^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{3.2 k^{n_{1} / 3}\left(k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}\right)^{2}}<\frac{1}{2\left(k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

we have, by the classical Legendre's Theorem on Diophantine approximation, that $\frac{x_{2}}{k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}}$ is a convergent in the simple continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}}$. One can apply the same method to the solution $\left(x_{3}, n_{3}\right)$. Moreover, if $p_{m} / q_{m}$ is the $m$-th convergent of $\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}}-\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}\right|>\frac{1}{\left(a_{m+1}+2\right) q_{m}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{m+1}$ is the $(m+1)$-st partial quotient of $\sqrt{k^{n_{1}}}$ (see e.g. [6]). Since

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{2}, k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{3}, k^{\left(n_{3}-n_{1}\right) / 2}\right)=1,
$$

it follows that if

$$
\frac{x_{2}}{k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}}=\frac{p_{b}}{q_{b}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{x_{3}}{k^{\left(n_{3}-n_{1}\right) / 2}}=\frac{p_{c}}{q_{c}}
$$

then

$$
x_{2}=p_{b}, \quad k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2}=q_{b}, \quad x_{3}=p_{c} \quad \text { and } k^{\left(n_{3}-n_{1}\right) / 2}=q_{c} .
$$

Thus, combining equations (5) and (6) yields to

$$
a_{b+1}>3.2 k^{n_{1} / 3}-2>k^{n_{1} / 3} .
$$

Also, since $p_{c} \geq p_{b+1}>a_{b+1} p_{b}$, we obtain

$$
k^{\left(n_{3}-n_{1}\right) / 2}>k^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2} \cdot k^{n_{1} / 3}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{3}>n_{2}+\frac{2}{3} n_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we recall a result due to Tzanakis-Wolfskill [12].
Lemma 2.2. Suppose $k$ is not a square and $(x, n)$ is a solution of (3) which satisfies $k^{n} \geq 4^{1+s / r}|D|^{2+s / r}$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we have

$$
\left|\frac{x^{\prime}}{k^{n^{\prime} / 2}}-1\right|>\frac{8}{2187 k^{n(3+\nu / 2)}}\left(\frac{81 k^{n}}{4}\right)^{1 / s} k^{-n^{\prime}(1+\nu) / 2}
$$

for any $x^{\prime}, n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \nmid n^{\prime}$, where $\nu$ satisfies $k^{n \nu}=9\left(81 k^{n} / 4\right)^{r / s}$.
Proof. This is [12, Theorem I.2] with $a=1$.
Now, we prove the following result.
Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $k$ is not a square and equation (3) has a solution ( $x, n$ ) such that $k^{n}>4^{5} D^{6}$. Then every solution ( $x^{\prime}, n^{\prime}$ ) of (3) with $2 \nmid n^{\prime}$ satisfies $n^{\prime}<12 n$.

Proof. We take $r=1, s=4$ in Lemma 2.2. It follows that $k^{n}>4^{5} D^{6}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x^{\prime}}{k^{n^{\prime} / 2}}-1\right|>\frac{8}{2187 k^{n(3+\nu / 2)}}\left(\frac{81 k^{n}}{4}\right)^{1 / 4} k^{-n^{\prime}(1+\nu) / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\nu=\frac{\log 9}{\log k^{n}}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\log (81 / 4)}{4 \log k^{n}}<\frac{1}{4}+\frac{2.8}{\log k^{n}}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

Since $\left(x^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)$ is a solution of (3) with $2 \nmid n^{\prime}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x^{\prime}}{k^{n^{\prime} / 2}}-1\right|=\frac{|D|}{k^{n^{\prime} / 2}\left(k^{n^{\prime} / 2}+x^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{|D|}{k^{n^{\prime}}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations (8) and (9) then gives

$$
\frac{8}{2187 k^{n(3+\nu / 2)}}\left(\frac{81 k^{n}}{4}\right)^{1 / 4} k^{-n^{\prime}(1+\nu) / 2}<\frac{|D|}{k^{n^{\prime}}} .
$$

As $|D|<\left(k^{n} / 4^{5}\right)^{1 / 6}$, we get

$$
8\left(\frac{81 k^{n}}{4}\right)^{1 / 4} k^{n^{\prime}(1-\nu) / 2}<2187 k^{n(3+\nu / 2)}|D|<2187 k^{n(3+\nu / 2)}\left(k^{n} / 4^{5}\right)^{1 / 6}
$$

and so

$$
k^{n^{\prime}(1-\nu) / 2}<40.6 k^{n(3+\nu / 2+1 / 6-1 / 4)}<k^{n(3+\nu / 2+1 / 6-1 / 4-1 / 4)}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
n^{\prime}<\frac{3+\nu / 2+1 / 6-1 / 2}{(1-\nu) / 2} \cdot n \leq 35 n / 3<12 n
$$

## 3. The proofs

In this section, we prove our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We assume that there exist 9 solutions $\left(x_{j}, n_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq 9$, with $k^{n_{1}}>4^{5} D^{6}$. It is easy to see that if $n \geq n_{1}$, then $n$ is odd. Indeed, equation (3) can be factored into

$$
\left(x+k^{n / 2}\right)\left(x-k^{n / 2}\right)=D
$$

Therefore, there exist two integers $D_{1}, D_{2}$ with $D_{1}>0$ such that

$$
x+k^{n / 2}=D_{1}, \quad x-k^{n / 2}=D_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad D=D_{1} D_{2}
$$

As

$$
k^{n / 2}=\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right) / 2 \leq\left(D_{1}+\left|D_{2}\right|\right) / 2 \leq|D|
$$

we deduce that $4^{5} D^{6}<k^{n_{1}} \leq k^{n}<D^{2}$, which is impossible.
Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j+2}>n_{j+1}+\frac{2}{3} n_{j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 7 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{9} & >n_{8}+\frac{2}{3} n_{7}>\frac{5}{3} n_{7}+\frac{2}{3} n_{6}>\frac{7}{3} n_{6}+\frac{10}{9} n_{5}>\frac{31}{9} n_{5}+\frac{14}{9} n_{4} \\
& >5 n_{4}+\frac{62}{27} n_{3}>\frac{197}{27} n_{3}+\frac{10}{3} n_{2}>\frac{287}{27} n_{2}+\frac{394}{81} n_{1}>\frac{1255}{81} n_{1} \\
& >15 n_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But Lemma 2.3 provides $n_{9}<12 n_{1}$. This leads to a contradiction. Therefore, there are at most 8 solutions $(x, n)$ satisfying $k^{n}>4^{5} D^{6}$.

We deduce the proof of Corollary 1.3.
Proof of Corollary 1.3. Since there exist at most $\log \left(4^{5} D^{6}\right) / \log k$ positive integers $n$ satisfying $k^{n} \leq 4^{5} D^{6}$, Theorem 1.2 yields the upper bound for the number of solutions for equation (3).

## 4. Final remark

In [7], Le showed that the number of positive integer solutions of equation (3) is at most $2^{\omega(D)+1}+\delta($ where $\delta=0$ for $D>0$ and $\delta=1$ for $D<0$ ), see Theorem 1.1. The method of the present paper can sharpen the upper bound to $3 \cdot 2^{\omega(D)-1}+c$, where $c$ is an absolute constant a little bigger than 8 .
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