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# NOTES ON ABSTRACT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS¹ S. Zaidman 


#### Abstract

In this paper we present a number of (new) results concerning linear differential equations in Banach spaces. The following topics are enclosed: Some regularity properties of weak solutions; mollification of weak solutions and resolvent regularization of them; bounded solutions (a necessary condition for existence and uniqueness) ; periodic solutions, existence and uniqueness theorems; almost-periodic solutions.

All the equations here considered are of the form: $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$ where $u(t), f(t)$ are functions from a real interval into a Banach space $E$, and $A$ is a linear (usually unbounded) operator in $E$ with (dense) domain $D(A)$.


Résumé

Dans ce travail on présente certains nouveaux résultats concernant les équations différentielles linéaires en espaces de Banach. On étudie des propriétés de régularité des solutions faibles; ensuite, la régularisation de ces solutions par deux procédés différents; une condition nécessaire pour l'existence et l'unicité des solutions bornées; solutions périodiques, thêorèmes d'existence et unicité; solutions presque périodiques.

[^0]Les équations considérées sont de la forme: $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), u$ et $f$ étant des fonctions d'un intervalle réel dans un espace de Banach $E$, tandis que $A$ est un opérateur linéaire dans $E$ de domaine $D(A)$.

## Introduction

The present work is dedicated to some properties of differential equations in Banach spaces; precisely, we take equations of the form $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$ where $u(t)$ is a function from a real interval into a Banach space, as well as $f(t)$; the operator $A$ is a linear operator, usually unbounded (that is, discontinuous) and with a domain $D(A)$ (strictly) contained in the Banach space $X$.

To start with, one defines a certain class of weak solutions (see for ex. [1], [5]). In this context we prove two kinds of results:
i) if the (weak) solution has a (strong) derivative, it belongs to the domain $D(A)$ or to $D\left(A^{* *}\right)$;
ii) if the (weak) solution belongs to $D(A)$, then the strong derivative $u^{\prime}(t)$ exists.

For another class of solutions, the ultra-weak solutions, similar investigations were done in our paper [6].

Next, we apply the usual mollification process to a weak solution $u$ (that is, the convolution of $u$ with a regular function $\alpha$ ); we get a function $u * \alpha$ which has a strong derivative and belongs to $D(A)$ or to $D\left(A^{* *}\right)$.

Finally, if the operator $\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1}$ exists as a bounded linear operator in $X$ (for some complex number $\lambda_{o}$ ), and if we apply it to a weak solution $u$, we get a strong solution (it will have a strong derivative). Again, we already proved this kind of results for the ultra-weak solutions of Kato-Tanabe and Lions, in our previous papers [6], [7].

Another section of the paper deals with bounded solutions on the whole real line. A necessary condition for existence and uniqueness of a bounded solution $u$ in correspondence to any (given) bounded function $f$, was explained in [3] for the case of operators $A$ which are continuous and everywhere defined. It seems, as we shall see, that similar reasonings apply when $A$ is only a linear closed operator which is densely defined.

In the final part of this article we deal with some (simple) results about periodic solutions $u(t)$ when a periodic function $f(t)$ is given, or almostperiodic solutions, in a very simple situation.

If the operator $A$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup with exponential decay, there exists a unique periodic solution $u(t)$ in the mild or the strong sense.

Next, for a general operator (linear closed but not always generating a semi-group) we indicate how one can look for periodic solutions $u(t)$ when a periodic function $f(t)$ is given, using some simple Fourier series arguments.

A1so, in the case where A generates an unitary group of operators in a Hilbert space, we show that the existence of a bounded solution of the equation $u^{\prime}=A u+f$ implies the existence of a periodic solution with the same period as $f$ (the result is a particular case of [8] but the proof here is somehow simpler to grasp). Finally, we consider the system $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$, where $u(t)$ is a function from $R$ into the product space $X^{n}(X$ is a Banach space), $f(t)$ is an almost-periodic function from $R$ into $X^{n}, \quad A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ - a square matrix of complex numbers, and prove, extending a classical result, the almost-periodicity of functions-solutions, $u(t)$ which have relatively compact range in $x^{n}$; we also establish an existence and uniqueness theorem in the case where the eigen-values of the matrix $A$ have non-zero real part.

1. Regularity properties of weak solutions

Let $X$ be a B-space, $X *$ and $X * *$ the dual and bidual of $X$. Let $A$ be a linear, closed, densely defined operator, $D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$. The dual operator

A* is defined on the set
(1.1)

```
D(A*)}={\mp@subsup{x}{}{*}\in\mp@subsup{X}{}{*}\mathrm{ s.t. Эy* }\in\mp@subsup{X}{}{*}\mathrm{ , with }\mp@subsup{x}{*}{*}(Ax)=\mp@subsup{y}{}{*}(x)\quad\forallx\inD(A)
```

by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
A * x *=y^{*} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Thus the relation

```
x*(Ax) = (A*x*)(x) holds \forallx 伿(A), \forallx* \subset D(A*).
```

Consider a function $f(t)$ which is Bochner integrable, $[0, T] \rightarrow X$.

DEFINITION. The strongly continuous function $u(t),[0, T] \rightarrow X$ is said to be weak solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t}=A u+f \text { on }[0, T] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the following holds:

For all $x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$, the numerical-valued function $x^{*}(u(t))$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$, and the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(u(t))=\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u(t))+x^{*}(f(t)) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds almost-everywhere on $[0, \mathrm{~T}]$.

We shall give now the following

THEOREM 1. Let us as sume
$\left.1^{\circ}\right) u(t)$ has a strong derivative $u^{\prime}(t)$ almost-everywhere on $[0, T]$;
$2^{\circ}$ ) $u(t)$ is weak solution of $\frac{d u}{d t}=A u+f$ on $[0, T]$;
$3^{\circ}$ ) the domain $D\left(A^{*}\right)$ is dense in $X^{*}$.
Let us call $J$ the canonical imbedding of $x$ into $x * *$. Then $(J u)(t) \subset D\left(A^{* *}\right)$
a.e. in $\lceil 0, T\rceil$ and the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}(\mathrm{Ju})=\mathrm{A}^{* *}(\mathrm{Ju})+J f \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, a.e. in [0,T].

PROOF. From hypothesis, $\forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$, we have the equality
(i) $\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(u(t))=\left(A * x^{*}\right)(u(t))+x^{*}(f(t))$ a.e. on $[0, T]$, i.e., on $[0, T] / \varepsilon_{o}$, where $m \varepsilon_{o}=0$.
(ii) Also, the derivative $u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{d u}{d t}$ exists strongly, $\forall t \in[0, T] / \varepsilon_{1}$, $m \varepsilon_{1}=0$.

Hence, $\forall t \in[0, T] /\left(\varepsilon_{0} \cup \varepsilon_{1}\right)$, both (i) - (ii) are truc.
As $\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(u(t))=x^{*}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ on $C\left(\varepsilon_{0} U \varepsilon_{1}\right)$, we get, a.e. on $[0, T]$, the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}\left(u^{\prime}(t)-f(t)\right)=\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u(t)) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, let us remember that the isometric linear operator $J, X$ into X** is defined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J x)\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}(x) \quad \forall x^{*} \in X^{*} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have, $\forall t \in[0, T]$
$(J u)(t)\left(A * x^{*}\right)=\left(A * x^{*}\right)(u(t))$
and consequently, a.e. on $[0, T]$, the equality
$(J u)(t)\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)=x^{*}\left(u^{\prime}(t)-f(t)\right), \quad \forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$
is verified.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { It follows that } \\
& (J u)(t)\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)=\left(J\left(u^{\prime}(t)-f(t)\right)\right)\left(x^{*}\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] / \varepsilon, \quad m \varepsilon=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write, once $t$ is fixed in $[0, T] / \varepsilon,(J u)(t)=F * * \in X^{* *}, J\left(u^{\prime}(t)-f(t)\right)=$ $G^{* *} \in X^{* *}$, so that we have the relation

```
F**(A*x*) = G**(x*) \forallx** D(A*).
```

Accordingly, we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F} * * \in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{* *}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{A} * * \mathrm{~F} * *=\mathrm{G} * * \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, almost-everywhere on $[0, T]$

$$
(J u)(t) \in D(A * *) \text { and } A * *(J u)(t)=G * *=J\left(u^{\prime}(t)-f(t)\right)
$$

Note also that, when $u^{\prime}(t)$ exists, we have $J u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{d}{d t}(J u)(t)$; thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}(J u)(t)=A^{* *}(J u)(t)+(J f)(t) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. on $[0, T] . \square$

Consider now a somewhat different situation, expressed under the statement of

THEOREM 2. Let us assume that $u(t) \in C([0, T] ; X)$ is a weak solution of the equation (1.4) and that, furthermore, $u(t) \in D(A)$ a.e. on $[0, T]$ and $A u(t)$ is Bochner integrable on $[0, T]$. Then, the strong derivative $u^{\prime}(t)$ exists a.e. on $[0, T]$ and the equality $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$ holds, a.e. an $[0, T]$.

PROOF. We have the equality $x^{*}(A u(t))=\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u(t))$ a.e. on $[0, T], \forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$. Thus, from (1.5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(u(t))=x *(A u(t)+f(t)) \text {, a.e. on }[0, T], \quad \forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the absolute continuity of the function $x^{*}(u(t))$ we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x *(u(t))-x^{*}(u(0))=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d s} x *(u(s)) d s \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and accordingly the relation
(1.16)

$$
x^{*}[u(t)-u(0)]=\int_{0}^{t} x^{*}(A u(s)+f(s)) d s=x^{*}\left(\int_{0}^{t}[A u(s)+f(s)] d s\right) \quad \forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right) .
$$

In this theorem, the domain $D\left(A^{*}\right)$ is not assumed to be dense in $X^{*}$, but is in any case a "total" set in $X^{*}$ (this means that $\left.x^{*}(x)=0 \quad \forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right) \Rightarrow x=0\right)$.

Accordingly we get the (representation) formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)-u(0)=\int_{0}^{t}(A u+f)(s) d s \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $A u+f$ is Bochner integrable on $[0, T]$ we derive the result.
2. Convolution of weak solutions with scalar regular functions (mollification)

Given any function $u(t) \in C([0, T] ; X)$, we shall consider the convolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u * \alpha)(t)=\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} u(s) \alpha(t-s) d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in C_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \alpha \geq 0, \alpha(t)=0$ for $|t| \geq \varepsilon$. Thus $(u * \alpha)(t)$ is welldefined for $\varepsilon<t<T-\varepsilon$. Furthermore, the strong derivative ( $u * \alpha)^{\prime}(t)$ exists and $=u(t+\varepsilon) \alpha(-\varepsilon)-u(t-\varepsilon) \alpha(\varepsilon)+\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} u(s) \alpha^{\prime}(t-s) d s=\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} u(s) \alpha^{\prime}(t-s) d s$.

Let us assume now (1.5) for $u(t)$; we shall prove a similar relation for $(u * \alpha)(t)$, namely: $\forall x^{*} \in X^{*}$
(2.2) $\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}((u * \alpha)(t))=\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u * \alpha)(t)+x *(f * \alpha)(t), \quad \varepsilon<t<T-\varepsilon$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * \alpha)(t)=\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} f(s) \alpha(t-s) d s=\int_{0}^{T} f(s) \alpha(t-s) d s, \quad \varepsilon<t<T-\varepsilon \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that the derivative exists $\forall t \in(\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$, as it exists strongly.)

We have the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}((u * \alpha)(t)) & =x^{*}\left((u * \alpha)^{\prime}(t)\right)=x *\left(\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} u(s) \alpha^{\prime}(t-s) d s\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} x^{*}(u(s)) \alpha^{\prime}(t-s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Now, due to absolute continuity of $x *(u(s))$ we can integrate by parts and get, after use of (1.5), the following relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} x^{*}(u(s)) \alpha^{\prime}(t-s) d s & =-\left.x^{*}(u(s)) \alpha(t-s)\right|_{t-\varepsilon} ^{t+\varepsilon}+\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} \alpha(t-s) \frac{d}{d s} x^{*}(u(s)) d s \\
& =\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} \alpha(t-s)\left[\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u(s))+x^{*}(f(s))\right] d s \\
5) & =\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon}\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(\alpha(t-s) u(s)) d s+\int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t+\varepsilon} x^{*}(\alpha(t-s) f(s)) d s \\
& =\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u * \alpha)(t)+x^{*}(f * \alpha)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 1 and the above remarks we get the following mollification result:

THEOREM 3. Assume $D\left(A^{*}\right)$ is dense in $X * ; u(t) \in C([0, T] ; X)$ is weak solution of (1.4). Then $J(u * \alpha)(t) \in D\left(A^{* *}\right)$ and the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{u} * \alpha)(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{A}^{* * J}(\mathrm{u} * \alpha)(\mathrm{t})+((. J f) * \alpha)(\mathrm{t}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, $\forall t \in(\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$.

In fact, from Theorem 1 we obtain (2.6) to hold almost-everywhere. However, left and right-hand side of (2.6) are continuous functions, so that the equality is actually true for any $t \in(\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$ (if it would be false in $t_{0}$ it would be so in ( $\left.t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}+\delta\right)$ for some $\delta>0$ which has positive measurc).
(It is not necessary to use Theorem 1 but just imitate its proof and get the result directly, for all $t \in(\varepsilon, T-\varepsilon)$.
3. Resolvent regularization of weak solutions

Let us assume again that the function $u(t) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T] ; X)$ is weak solution of the equality (1.4) and assume also that the resolvent $\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1}$ exists and $\epsilon L(X)$ for some $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$.

PROPOSITION 1. The function $v(t)=R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) u(t)$ is also weak solution of (1.4) with $f$ replaced by $R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) f$.

PROOF. We first need to establish the absolute continuity of the function $x^{*}(v(t))$ in $[0, T], \forall x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$ (and even for all $\left.x^{*} \in X^{*}\right)$. We have in fact, $\forall x^{*} \in X^{*}$ the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}\left(R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) u(t)\right)=\left(\left(R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right)\right) * x *\right) u(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know also that $\lambda_{0} \in \rho\left(A^{*}\right)$ (resolvent set of $\left.A^{*}\right)$ and that $R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A^{*}\right)=$ $\left[R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right)\right]^{*}$. It follows that $\left(R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right)\right) x^{*}=R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A^{*}\right) x^{*}=\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}$ which belongs to $D\left(A^{*}\right)$ for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Therefore $x^{*}(v(t))=y^{*}(u(t))$ where $y^{*}=\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}$ belongs to $D\left(A^{*}\right)$; hence $x^{*}(v(t))$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T], \forall x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Next, we have the simple relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(v(t))=\frac{d}{d t} y^{*}(u(t))=\left(A * y^{*}\right)(u(t))+y^{*}(f(t)) \text { a.e. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(v(t))=\left(A^{*}\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}\right)(u(t))+\left(\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}\right)(f(t)) \quad \text { a.e. } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note now that, for $x^{*} \in D\left(A^{*}\right)$, the commutativity property $A^{*}\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} x^{*}$ $=\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} A^{*} x^{*}$, holds. Hence, we derive from (3.3), the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} x^{*}(v(t)) & =\left(\left(\lambda_{0}-A^{*}\right)^{-1} A^{*} x^{*}\right)(u(t))+\left(\left[\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1}\right] * x *\right)(f(t)) \\
& =\left(\left[\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1}\right] * A * x^{*}\right)(u(t))+\left(\left[\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1}\right] * x *\right)(f(t))  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\left(A * x^{*}\right)\left(\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1} u(t)\right)+x^{*}\left(\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-1} f(t)\right) \\
& =\left(A^{*} x^{*}\right)(v(t))+x^{*}(g(t)), \quad g(t)=R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) f(t)
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the proposition.

Note also that $v(t) \in D(A) \quad \forall t \in[0, T]$ and that $A v(t)=-u(t)+$ $\lambda_{0} R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) u(t)$ belongs to $C([0, T] ; X)$.

From Theorem 2 we infer that $v^{\prime}(t)$ exists strongly, a.e. on $[0, T]$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}(t)=A v(t)+R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) f(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

a.e. on $[0, T]$.

If $f(t)$ is continuous on $[0, T]$ we get that $v^{\prime}(t)$ is also continuous and consequently $v^{\prime}(t)=A v(t)+R\left(\lambda_{0} ; A\right) f(t) \quad \forall t \in[0, T]$.
4. A necessary condition for existence and uniqueness of bounded solutions

In the $B$-space $X$ consider a linear closed operator $A$, with dense domain $D(\Lambda)$. We prove the following

THEOREM 4. Let us assume that for any continuous function $f(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|f(t)\|<\infty$ there exists one and only one solution $u(t)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the real line, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|u(t)\|<\infty . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, any number on the imaginary line $\{i \tau\}{ }_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}$ belongs to the resolvent set of $A$.
LEMMA 1. The operator $(\mathrm{i} \tau-\mathrm{A})^{-1}$ exists $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

If not, therc exists $\tau_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_{0} \in D(A), x_{0} \neq \theta$ such that $i \tau_{0} x_{0}=$ $\mathrm{Ax}_{0}$.

Consider the vector-function $u_{0}(t)=e^{i \tau_{0}} x_{0}$. We see that $\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|=$ $\left\|x_{0}\right\|$, so that $u_{0}(t)$ is bounded over $\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, we have $u_{0}^{\prime}(t)=i^{\tau} \tau_{0} u_{0}(t)=$ $A u_{0}(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence, the homogeneous equation $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)$ has a non-trivial bounded solution over $\mathbb{R}$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. The operator (it-A) maps $D(A)$ onto $X, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the function $f(t)=e^{i \tau t} f_{o}$, where $f_{o}$ is any fixed element of $x$. Hence $f(t)$ is bounded over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $x(t)$ be the unique bounded solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=A x(t)+f(t) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and put $y(t)=e^{-i \tau t} x(t)$. Then $y(t)$ is bounded over $R$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)=(A-i \tau) y(t)+f_{0} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, the equation (4.4) has at most one bounded solution (otherwise, if $y_{1}$, $y_{2}$ are two bounded solutions of it, $x_{1}(t)=e^{i \tau t} y_{1}(t), \quad x_{2}(t)=e^{i \tau t} y_{2}(t)$ would be two bounded solutions of (4.3)). It follows that the translated function $y(t+a)$ (a being any real number), which is again a bounded solution of (4.4), must coincide with $y(t)$. Thus, we get: $y(t+a)=y(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$; hence $y(a)=y(0)$. But a is any real number, hence $y(t)$ is constant. From (4.4) we derive $\theta=(A-i \tau) y(0)+f_{o}, f_{o}=(A-i \tau)(-y(0))$. This proves Lemma 2.

We now end the proof of the theorem. We obtained that, $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $(i \tau-A)^{-1}$ exists and is everywhere defined. It is also closed, like it-A, hence it is bounded by the closed graph theorem.

## 5. Periodic solutions

We consider again non-homogeneous differential equations in Banach spaces: $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$, where $A$ is a certain linear unbounded operator while $f(t)$, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \quad$ (the $B$-space) is periodic with period $\omega(f(t+\omega)=f(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R})$.

First, we prove existence and uniqueness of a periodic strong solution $u(t)$ with the same period, under the hypothesis that $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $C_{o}$-semigroup with exponential decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $S(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}+L(X)$ be a $C_{0}$-operator semigroup, verifying an estimate $\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{\beta t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$, where $M>0, \quad B<0$, and let $A=\lim _{\eta \downarrow 0} \frac{S(\eta)-I}{\eta}$ be its infinitesimal generator.

We have

THFOREM 5. Given $f(t) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} ; x)$, periodic of period $w$, there exists one and only one (strong) solution over $\mathbb{R}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is periodic with the same period $\omega$.

PROOF. Uniqueness:
Any periodic continuous function is bounded over $\mathbb{R}$. If $u_{1}, u_{2}$ are periodic solutions with period $\omega$, their difference $u(t)$ is a periodic (hence a bounded) solution of $u^{\prime}=A u$, over the whole $\mathbb{R}$.

This implies $u(t) \equiv \theta$ by Th. 1.1, Ch. V in [9].

## Existence:

As is quite easy to see, for any real number $A$, the integral
$\int_{A}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma$ exists (in Riemann's sense), because one can establish easily the continuity of $\sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t}-\sigma) \mathrm{f}(\sigma)$ for $\mathrm{A}<\sigma<\mathrm{t}$.

Next, we have the estimate

$$
\|S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma)\| \leq M e^{\beta(t-\sigma)} \sup _{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}}\|f(\sigma)\|=C e^{\beta t} e^{|\beta| \sigma}
$$

Also $\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{|\beta| \sigma} d \sigma=\frac{1}{|\beta|} e^{|\beta| t}=\frac{1}{|\beta|} e^{-\beta t}$ is convergent. Thus the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma$ is absolutely convergent and the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma\right\| \leq \frac{M}{|\beta|}\|f(.)\|_{\infty} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.

Next, we prove that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is periodic, with the same period $w$. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t+\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{t+\omega} S(t+\omega-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma=(\sigma-\omega=s) \\
\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-s) f(s+\omega) d s=\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-s) f(s) d s=u(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{5.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, one has to prove that $u(t)$ is strongly continuous and also a strong solution over $\mathbb{R}$ of $u^{\prime}=A u+f$. This is done in [9], in the more general case where $f$ is almost-periodic over $\mathbb{R}$. (Note that $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ and periodic implies that $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ is also continuous periodic, hence bounded over $\mathbb{R}$.)

Somewhat simpler is the study of periodic mild solutions over $\mathbb{R}$ of $u^{\prime}=A u+f$.

DEFINITION. Given the continuous function $f(t) ; \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, the continuous function $u(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is said to be a mild solution of the equation

$$
u^{\prime}=A u+f
$$

if the functional relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=S(t-a) u(a)+\int_{a}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\forall t \geq a$.

We have now

THEOREM 6. Given $f \in C(\mathbb{R} ; x)$, periodic of period $w$, there exists one and only one mild solution over $\mathbb{R}$ of $u^{\prime}=A u+f$, which is periodic with period $w$. PRCOF. Uniqueness:

If $u_{1}, u_{2}$ are two periodic mild solutions with period $\omega, u=u_{1}-u_{2}$ verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{a}), \quad \forall \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{a}, \quad \forall \mathrm{a} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is periodic, of period $\omega$, hence bounded over $\mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$
\|u(t)\| \leq M e^{B(t-a)} \sup _{\mathbb{R}}\|u(.)\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } a \rightarrow-\infty
$$

## Existence:

Consider the periodic function $u(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma$ which was previously defined.

It is continuous, due to uniform continuity of $f$ over $\mathbb{R}$.

It is a mild solution: In fact the right-hand side in (5.5) becomes:
$S(t-a) \int_{-\infty}^{a} S(a-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma+\int_{a}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\int_{-\infty}^{a} S(t-a+a-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma+\int_{a}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma  \tag{5.7}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma=u(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Consider now a linear closed operator $A$ with domain $D(A)$ in the Banach space $X$ and then a continuous periodic function (period $T$ ), $f(t)$, from $\mathbb{R}$ into X. Let us define the Fourier coefficients $f_{k}$ of $f$, by the usual formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(t) e^{-i k t \frac{2 \pi}{T}} d t, \quad k \in Z \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let us assume that $u(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a solution of the equation $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is also periodic with the same period $T$ as f. Thus, its Fourier coefficients $u_{k}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) e^{-i k t \frac{2 \pi}{T}} d t, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now looking for some connection between $u_{k}$ and $f_{k}$ :

$$
\text { From the equality: } u^{\prime}=A u+f \text { we derive that }
$$

(5.10) $e^{-i k t \frac{2 \pi}{T}} u^{\prime}(t)=e^{-i k t \frac{2 \pi}{T}} A u(t)+e^{-i k t \frac{2 \pi}{T}} f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It follows, integrating from 0 to $T$ and using closedness of $A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-i k t \frac{2 \pi}{T}} u^{\prime}(t) d t=A u_{k}+f_{k} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side integral is transformed, using partial integration and $u(T)=$ $u(0)$, into $\frac{2 k \pi i}{T} u_{k}$. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2 k \pi i}{T}-A\right) u_{k}=f_{k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the connection we were looking for

Let us assume now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2 k \pi i}{T}-A\right)^{-1} \in L(X) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=\left(\frac{2 k \pi i}{T}-A\right)^{-1} f_{k} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(i \tau-A)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{|\tau|^{2}} \text { for large real } \tau \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{k} \pi}{\mathrm{~T}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{CT}^{2}}{4 \pi^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and accordingly the Fourier series of $u: \sum_{k \in \boldsymbol{Z}} u_{k} e^{\frac{2 \pi}{T} i k t}$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent.

Another question to te considered is the following:
In the Banach space $X$ consider a family $A(t)$ of linear operators with domain $D(A(t)) \subset X$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A(t+\omega)=A(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and somc $\omega>0$.

Assume that $u(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \omega \rightarrow D(\Lambda(t))$ is a solution of the equation $u^{\prime}(t)=A(t) u(t)$ on $[0, \omega]$ (with right-derivative for $t=0$ and left-derivative for $t=\omega)$. Then, if $u(0)=u(\omega)$, there exists a solution $v(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow D(A(t))$, of the equation $v^{\prime}(t)=A(t) v(t)$, such that $v(t+\omega)=v(t)$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us define in fact a function $v(t)$ by means of the relation $v(t)=$ $u(t-n \omega)$ for $n \omega \leq t<(n+1) \omega$ (thus $0 \leq t-n \omega<\omega), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $t=n \omega+\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha<\omega$; then $v(t)=u(\alpha)$ and $v(t+\omega)=v((n+1) \omega+\alpha)=u(\alpha)=v(t)$. Hence $v$ is periodic, with period $\omega$. We must also prove that $v^{\prime}(t)$ exists strongly $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. This is obvious for $n \omega<t<(n+1) \omega$; in this case $v^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t-n \omega)=$ $A(t-n \omega) u(t-n \omega)=A(t) v(t)$. Let now $t=n \omega \quad$ (some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). We have for $h>0$, $\frac{1}{h}[v(t+h)-v(t)]=\frac{1}{h}[u(h)-u(0)] \rightarrow u_{+}^{\prime}(0)=A(0) u(0) ;$ for $h<0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{h}[v(t+h)-v(t)] & =\frac{1}{h}[u(\omega+h)-u(0)]=\frac{1}{h}[u(\omega+h)-u(\omega)] \rightarrow u_{-}^{\prime}(\omega) \\
& =A(\omega) u(\omega)=A(0) u(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Our present discussion ends with a result where existence of a bounded solution implies existence of a periodic solution. In the Hilbert space $H$ consider a unitary group $U(t)$ of linear transformations: that is $U^{*}(t)=[U(t)]^{-1}$ $=U(-t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, with infinitesimal generator $A$. Given a continuous periodic function $f(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I I$ (period $p$ ), we define mild solutions of the cquation $u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t)+f(t)$ as continuous functions $u(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$, admitting the representation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(t) u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} u(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume existence of a mild solution $u(t)$ which is bounded over the real line: $\sup \|u(t)\|<\infty$. Then, using Theorem 4.1 in [10], we infer existence and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ unicity of a bounded mild solution $w$, such that $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|w(t)\| \leq \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|v(t)\|$ for all bounded mild solutions of (5.17) (minimal bounded mild solution).

We shall now see that this minimal bounded solution is periodic with the same period as $f$.

Note first that from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=U(t) w(0)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we infer

$$
\begin{align*}
w(t+p) & =U(t+p) w(0)+\int_{0}^{t+p} U(t+p-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma \\
& =U(t)\left[U(p) w(0)+\int_{0}^{t+p} U(p-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma\right] \\
& =U(t)\left[U(p) w(0)+\int_{0}^{p} U(p-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma+\int_{p}^{t+p} U(p-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma\right]  \tag{5.19}\\
& =U(t) w(p)+U(t) \quad \int_{p}^{t+p} U(p-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma
\end{align*}
$$

If $\sigma=s+p$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{p}^{t+p} U(p-\sigma) f(\sigma) d \sigma=\int_{0}^{t} U(-s) f(s) d s  \tag{5.20}\\
w(t+p)=U(t) w^{\prime}(p)+\int_{0}^{t} U(t-s) f(s) d s, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{gather*}
$$

This shows that the translated function: $t \rightarrow w(t+p)$ is also a mild solution of (5.17).

Furthermore, $\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|w(t+p)\|=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|w(t)\|$, indicates that $w$ is a minimal mild solution, hence, by unicity, $w(t+p)=w(t) \quad \forall t \in R$.
(Remark: A similar result for semigroups instead of groups appears in our paper [8].)

## 6. Almost-periodic solutions

Let $R$ b the real line, $Y$ a Banach space over $\mathbb{C} ; A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} a$ square-matrix of complex numbers, $n \times n ; Y^{n}$ being the product Banach space with norm: $\|y\|_{Y^{n}}=\left(\sum_{1}^{n}\left\|y_{i}\right\|_{Y}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in Y^{n}$. Our first result is

THEOREM 7. Let $f(t) ; \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y^{\mathrm{n}}$ be an almost-periodic function while $y(t)$, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d t}=A y(t)+f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in $y(t)$ has relatively compact range in $X^{n}$, it is almost-periodic.

We shall use the following

LEMMA. There exists a linear operator $B, \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$, which has an inverse, such that

$$
B^{-1} A B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} & C_{12} & \ldots & C_{1 n} \\
0 & \lambda_{2} & \ldots & C_{2 n} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \cdots
\end{array}\right] \cdot \cdots .
$$

where the $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$ (see [2], pp. 91-92).
PROOF of Theorem. Let $Z(t)=B^{-1} y(t)$, a function from $\mathbb{R}$ into $Y^{n}$ (here $B^{-1}$ is the operator generated by the matrix $B^{-1}$, acting linearly from $Y^{n}$ into itself). As $B^{-1}$ is also continuous from $Y^{n}$ into itself, we see that $Z(t)$ has also a relatively compact range in $Y^{n}$. Similarly, the function $g(t)=B^{-1} f(t)$, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}$, is almost-periodic. We obtain now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Z}{d t}=B^{-1} \frac{d y}{d t}=B^{-1} A y(t)+B^{-1} f(t)=B^{-1} A B Z(t)+g(t) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above lemma, we derive from (6.2) the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d Z_{1}}{d t}=\lambda_{1} Z_{1}(t)+C_{12} Z_{2}(t)+\ldots+C_{1 n} Z_{n}(t)+g_{1}(t) \\
& \frac{d Z_{2}}{d t}=\quad \lambda_{2} Z_{2}(t)+\ldots+C_{2 n} Z_{n}(t)+g_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\frac{d Z_{n-1}}{d t}= & \lambda_{n-1} Z_{n-1}(t)+C_{n-1, n} Z_{n}(t)+g_{n-1}(t)  \tag{6.3}\\
\frac{d Z_{n}}{d t}= & & \lambda_{n} Z_{n}(t)+g_{n}(t),
\end{array}
$$

where $Z(t)=\left(Z_{1}(t), \ldots, Z_{n}(t)\right), g(t)=\left(g_{1}(t), \ldots, g_{n}(t)\right)$.
Now, if $P_{j}, Y^{n} \rightarrow Y$ is the projection $Z \rightarrow P_{j} Z=Z_{j}$ (for $Z=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, Z_{n}\right)$, we see that $p_{j}$ is a linear continuous mapping; therefore, each function $Z_{i}(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$ has relatively compact range, and each function $g_{i}(t)$ is almost -
periodic, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$. We shall apply a result by Kopec [4], several times, starting with the last equation in (6.3), and obtain that each function $Z_{i}(t)$ is almostperiodic, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$.

Our last result is the

THEOREM 8. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$ a square-matrix of complex numbers, such that, for each eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}, \mathbb{R e} \lambda_{j} \neq 0$ holds. Then, given any almost-periodic function $f(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y^{n}$, there exists one and only one almost-periodic function $y(t), \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y^{n}$, solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d t}=A y+f \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. Uniqueness:
Let $u(t)$ be a bounded over $\mathbb{R}$ solution of $\frac{d u}{d t}=A u$. Then $Z(t)=$ $B^{-1} u(t)$ is a bounded solution, $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y^{n}$ of $Z^{\prime}(t)=B^{-1} A B Z(t)$. Hence we get

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} Z_{1}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\lambda_{1} Z_{1}(t)+C_{12} Z_{2}(t)+\ldots+C_{1 n} Z_{n}(t)
$$

(6.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d z_{n-1}}{d t}= \lambda_{n-1} Z_{n-1}(t)+c_{n-1, n_{n}} Z_{n}(t) \\
& \frac{d Z_{n}}{d t}= \\
& \lambda_{n} z_{n}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equation gives (as for scalar-valued functions) that $Z_{n}(t)=e^{\lambda_{n}} Z_{n}(0)$. As $Z_{n}(t)$ is bounded over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R e} \lambda_{n} \neq 0$ we get $Z_{n}(t) \equiv 0$. Next, $\frac{d Z_{n-1}}{d t}=\lambda_{n-1} Z_{n-1}(t)$ and again $Z_{n-1}(t) \equiv 0$, and so on.

## Existence:

We solve first the system (6.3). From the last equation we get an almostperiodic $Z_{n}(t)$ which is $\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\lambda_{n}(t-\sigma)} g_{n}(\sigma) d \sigma$ for $\mathbb{R e} \lambda_{n}<0$ or $-\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{n}(t-\sigma)} g_{n}(\sigma) d \sigma$ for $\mathbb{R e} \lambda_{n}>0$.

Then we find an almost-periodic $Z_{n-1}$ and inductively, almost-periodic $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n-2}$. Next, put $y(t)=B Z(t)$.
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