

## A NOTE ON THE WELL-POSED ULTRA-WEAK CAUCHY PROBLEM S. Zaidman\*

### Résumé

Dans ce travail on considère un problème de Cauchy ultra-faible et bien posé pour l'équation différentielle abstraite  $u'(t) - Au(t) = \theta$  dans un espace de Banach, les données initiales appartenant à un sous-ensemble linéaire dense. On associe aux solutions du problème mentionné un semi-groupe d'opérateurs  $U(t)$  fortement continu pour  $t > 0$ . On établit des résultats de commutativité et on démontre que les solutions ultra-faibles dont les données initiales sont dans le domaine  $D(A)$  sont des solutions usuelles (fortes).

### Abstract

In this work we consider well-posed very weak Cauchy problems for the abstract differential equation  $u'(t) - Au(t) = \theta$  in a Banach space, when the initial data belong to a linear and dense subset; an operator semi-group  $U(t)$  which is strongly continuous on the interval  $]0, \infty[$  is connected with solutions of the Cauchy problem. Then, putting additional conditions on the operator  $A$ , some commutativity properties between  $U(t)$ ,  $(\lambda - A)^{-1}$  and  $A$  are established. Finally, the ultraweak solutions are shown to be strong when the Cauchy data belong to the definition domain  $D(A)$ .

---

\* We acknowledge support received during preparation of this paper through grant A3127 of the N.S.E.R.C., Canada.

Introduction

The Cauchy problem for abstract differential equations — that is, finding vector-valued functions  $u(t)$  such that  $u'(t) = Au(t)$  and  $u(0) = u_0 \in D(A)$  — was systematically investigated by E. Hille; in the classical monograph by Hille-Phillips [1] it is examined in Chapter XXIII as "The abstract Cauchy problem". There is a discussion of the uniqueness and relations to semi-group theory are established in the well-posed case. From a somewhat different point of view, the same problems are thoroughly investigated in Krein's monograph [3], and not only for the strong Cauchy problem but also for the so called "weakened" problem.

The concept of ultra-weak solutions and the corresponding Cauchy problem was discussed in Lions [4], [5] and for equations in Banach spaces in the paper [2] by Kato and Tanabe. Further research on the ultra-weak Cauchy problem can be found in our papers [6] and [7]. The investigation of ultra-weak solutions being still in a preliminary stage, many new unsolved problems pose themselves in a natural way. Some of these are worked out in this note: Whereas in [7] the weak Cauchy problem was "sufficiently" well-posed to generate  $C_0$ -operator semi-groups, in the present work the kind of continuous dependence on the initial data which is assumed is less restrictive than in [7]. Accordingly, the semi-group associated to the problem will not be in the class  $C_0$ , but will only be strongly continuous for  $t > 0$ . With this main difference, our results now are closely related to some of the statements in [7] and the proofs are, up to a point, quite similar.

1. In the Banach space  $X$  we consider a linear (unbounded) operator  $A$ , with dense domain  $D(A)$  and with dual operator  $A^*$  acting from  $D(A^*) \subset X^*$  into  $X^*$  (the dual space to  $X$ ). Given any  $b > 0$  (or even  $b = +\infty$ ), we consider continuous functions  $u(t): ]0, b[ \rightarrow X$ , verifying the integral identity

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^b \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \varphi^*(t) + A^* \varphi^*(t), u(t) \right\rangle dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi^* \in K_{A^*} ]0, b[$$

(this class of test-functions consists of  $\varphi^*(t) \in C^1(]0, b[; X^*)$ ,  $\varphi^* = \theta$  near 0 and  $b$ ,  $\varphi^*(t) \in D(A^*)$  for  $t \in ]0, b[$  and  $A^* \varphi^* \in C(]0, b[; X^*)$ ).

(Such functions  $u(t)$  are the ultra-weak solutions of the equation  $u' - Au = \theta$  in the open interval  $]0, b[$ .) If an additional condition at  $t = 0$  is given:  $u(0) = u_0$ , we have a ultra-weak Cauchy problem. Let now  $M \subset X$  be a given linear manifold (subspace of  $X$ , not necessarily closed). We say that the ultra-weak Cauchy problem is solvable on  $]0, b[$  for the set  $M$  if a solution  $u(t)$  such that  $u(0) = u_0 \in M$  exists in the above sense (for all  $u_0 \in M$ ).

This problem is uniquely solvable if it is solvable and the solution is unique on  $]0, b[$ . It is correct (well-posed) or uniformly well-posed if the solution depends continuously on the initial data in  $M$ , pointwise in  $]0, b[$  or uniformly there.

We note that it is possible to associate to any well-posed ultra-weak Cauchy problem on  $]0, \infty[$  an operator semi-group  $U(t)$  with usual properties on  $]0, \infty[$  as is shown in the following

**THEOREM.** *Let us assume that  $M$  is dense in  $X$ , the weak Cauchy problem is uniquely solvable and correct in  $M$  on  $]0, \infty[$  and the solution  $u(t)$  is contained in  $M$  when  $t \geq 0$ . Then, there exists an operator semi-group  $U(t)$ ,  $t \in ]0, \infty[$ ,  $U(0) = I$ , which is strongly continuous for  $t > 0$  and such that for all  $x_0 \in M$ ,  $U(t)x_0 = u(t; x_0)$ , the unique solution on  $]0, \infty[$  with  $u(0) = x_0$ .*

**PROOF.** We follow references [3], [8] where a similar fact is established for more regular solutions, and also our paper [7] for weak solutions and  $M = X$ .

Let us consider therefore the family of operators  $U_M(t)$ , mapping  $M$  into itself, and defined for all  $t \geq 0$  by:  $U_M(t)x = u(t; x)$ , the unique weak solution  $u(t)$  such that  $u(0) = x$ . We see that  $U_M(0)x = x$ ,  $\forall x \in M$ , hence  $U_M(0) = I_M$  — the identity operator on  $M$ . Besides, the relation:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U_M(t)x = x$ ,  $\forall x \in M$  holds, as follows from the strong continuity of the solution  $u(t)$  on  $]0, \infty[$ .

Next, it is easy to see<sup>1</sup> that  $U_M(t)$  is, for any  $t \geq 0$ , a linear mapping of  $M$  into itself. Also, from the correctness hypothesis, it follows that  $U_M(t)$

<sup>1</sup> Using uniqueness of the Cauchy problem.

is, for any  $t \geq 0$ , a continuous operator  $M \rightarrow M$ , hence a bounded one there (see for instance [8], Lemma 2.1, p. 8).

Let us establish now the semi-group property:  $U_M(t_1+t_2) = U_M(t_1) \cdot U_M(t_2)$ , for all  $t_1, t_2$  in the interval  $]0, \infty[$ . Given any element  $x_0 \in M$  let us define the function  $w_a(t) = U_M(t+a)x_0$  where  $t$  and  $a$  belong to  $]0, \infty[$ . Thus, we see that  $w_a(0) = U_M(a)x_0$ . Next, we shall see that  $w_a(t)$  is a weak solution on  $]0, \infty[$ , (which is strongly continuous for  $t \geq 0$ ). We have obviously, if  $u(t) = U_M(t)x_0$ , the relation

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \varphi^*(t) + A^* \varphi^*(t), u(t) \right\rangle dt = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi^* \in K_{A^*}]0, \infty[.$$

We note also that  $w_a(t) = u(t+a)$ , and we shall see that the equality

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \psi^*(t) + A^* \psi^*(t), u(t+a) \right\rangle dt = 0, \quad \forall \psi^* \in K_{A^*}]0, \infty[$$

holds true.

Note first that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \psi^*(t) + A^* \psi^*(t), u(t+a) \right\rangle dt &= \int_a^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{dz} \psi^*(z-a) + A^* \psi^*(z-a), u(z) \right\rangle dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{ds} \varphi^*(s) + A^* \varphi^*(s), u(s) \right\rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

where the new function  $\varphi^*$  defined by:  $\varphi^*(s) = \psi^*(s-a)$ , for  $s \geq a$  and  $= \theta$  for  $0 \leq s \leq a$ , belongs obviously to the set  $K_{A^*}]0, \infty[$ . Hence (1.3) follows. On the other hand, let us consider the weak solution  $z(t) = U_M(t) \cdot U_M(a)x_0$ ; we see that  $z(0) = U_M(a)x_0$  belongs to  $M$ , and that  $z(0) = w_a(0)$ .

As both functions  $z(t), w_a(t)$  are weak solutions on  $]0, \infty[$ , using the uniqueness hypothesis we may now infer the equality

$$w_a(t) = U_M(t+a)x_0 = z(t) = U_M(t)U_M(a)x_0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall a \geq 0, \quad \forall x_0 \in M.$$

Next, using the density of  $M$  in  $X$  and the boundedness of  $U_M(t)$  on  $M$ , we extend continuously, in a unique way, the operator  $U_M(t)$  from the set  $M$  to the

whole space  $X$ . Let us denote with  $U(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty \rightarrow L(X)$  the operator-valued function thus obtained. It will be obviously a semi-group of operators (representation of the abelian semigroup of all non-negative real numbers into the Banach algebra  $L(X)$ ). Furthermore, it is clear that  $U(t)x = U_M(t)x$  for all  $x$  belonging to  $M$ . Finally, continuing as, for instance, in [8], pp. 10-12 (replacing the set  $D(A)$  there by the dense set  $M$  here), we obtain that  $U(t)$  is uniformly bounded in any interval  $[\delta, 1/\delta]$  where  $\delta > 0$ , and accordingly  $U(t)x$  is a continuous function on the open interval  $]0, \infty[$  for all elements  $x \in X$ .

REMARK 1. If  $x$  is an arbitrary element of  $X$ , the continuous function on  $]0, \infty[$ ,  $U(t)x$  is a solution (on  $]0, \infty[$ ) of the equation (1.1); this is because  $U(t)x$  is the uniform limit on each interval of the form  $[\delta, 1/\delta]$  of the ultra-weak solutions  $U(t)x_n$  where  $x_n$  is any sequence in  $M$  converging to  $x$ .

Note also the following

COROLLARY 1. Under the hypothesis of the Theorem, and assuming also that  $D(A) \subset M$  and  $(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1} \in L(X)$  for some complex number  $\lambda_0$ , it follows that, for any  $T > 0$ , there is  $C_T > 0$ , with the property that

$$\|U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}\| \leq C_T \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

In fact, it is obvious that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

This shows boundedness of the expression

$$\|U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x\|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

We state now the following

COROLLARY 2. Under the same assumption as in Corollary 1, we have the commutativity property:

$$U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1} = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

PROOF. Let be  $x_0 \in D(A) \subset M$ ; it follows that  $U(t)x_0$  is an ultra-weak solution on  $]0, \infty[$  with  $x_0$  as initial data. Then, we can use Lemma 1 in [9] and obtain that the function  $v(t) = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)x_0$  is also an ultra-weak solution on  $]0, \infty[$  (the one such that  $v(0) = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x_0$ !).

Now, we see that  $(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x_0 \in D(A^2) \subset M$ , hence  $U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x_0$  represents the weak solution on  $]0, \infty[$  with initial data  $(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x_0$ .

Thus, from uniqueness, we obtain the equality:

$$(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)x_0 = U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}x_0, \quad \forall x_0 \in D(A).$$

Moreover,  $D(A)$  is dense in  $X$  and both operators  $(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)$  and  $U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}$  belong to  $L(X)$ .

We are also ready for the

COROLLARY 3. Under the assumptions of Corollary 1, the operator  $U(t)$  maps  $D(A)$  into itself for all  $t \geq 0$ , and the relation  $U(t)Ax = AU(t)x$ ,  $\forall x \in D(A)$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , holds true.

PROOF. If  $x \in D(A)$  it follows that  $x = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}(\lambda_0 - A)x$ . Hence we obtain  $U(t)x = U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}(\lambda_0 - A)x$  which equals (by Corollary 2) the expression  $(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)x$  — obviously an element of  $D(A)$ . Furthermore, we can write the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} AU(t)x &= AU(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}(\lambda_0 - A)x = A(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)x \\ &= (A - \lambda_0 I + \lambda_0 I)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)x = U(t)Ax \end{aligned}$$

(here  $x$  is in  $D(A)$ ).

Our last result is now the

COROLLARY 4. Under the assumptions of Corollary 1, for any  $x \in D(A)$  the ultra-weak solution  $U(t)x$  is also a strong, regular solution on the open

interval  $]0, \infty[$ .

In fact, we only note that

$$U(t)x = U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}(\lambda_0 - A)x = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)x.$$

From the above Remark 1, we infer that  $U(t)(\lambda_0 - A)x$  is a solution (in  $]0, \infty[$ ) of (1.1). Hence, using the main result in [9] we find that  $U(t)x$  is a strong (regular) solution on  $]0, \infty[$ .

### References

- [1] HILLE, E., PHILLIPS, R.S., Functional analysis and semi-groups, *Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ.* 31(1957), Providence, R.I.
- [2] KATO, T., TANABE, H., On the abstract evolution equation, *Osaka Math. Journal* 14(1962), 107-133.
- [3] KREIN, S.G., Linear differential equations in Banach spaces (translations of mathematical monographs), *Amer. Math. Soc.* (1971), Providence, R.I.
- [4] LIONS, J.L., Equations différentielles à coefficients opérateurs non-bornés, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 86(1958), 321-330.
- [5] LIONS, J.L., Equations différentielles opérationnelles et problèmes aux limites, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Bd. 111, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1961.
- [6] ZAIDMAN, S., The weak Cauchy problem for abstract differential equations, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* 56(1977), 1-21.
- [7] ZAIDMAN, S., Remarks on the well-posed weak Cauchy problem, *Boll. U.M.I.* (5), 17-B(1980), 1012-1022.
- [8] ZAIDMAN, S., *Abstract Differential Equations*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, vol. 36, R.N.M., San Francisco-London-Melbourne, 1979.

- [9] Z Aidman, S., Improving regularity of weak solutions of abstract differential equations, *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec*, vol. 10, no 1, 1986, 103-108.

*Département de mathématiques  
et de statistique  
Université de Montréal  
C.P. 6128, Succ. "A"  
Montréal, Québec, Canada  
H3C 3J7*

*Reçu le 21 mars 1986.  
Revisé le 20 août 1986.*