

IMPROVING REGULARITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS OF ABSTRACT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS¹

S. Zaidman

Résumé

Dans ce travail, on indique comment obtenir des solutions régulières (fortes) de l'équation différentielle opérationnelle $v' - Av = \theta$ dans l'intervalle $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ (A étant un opérateur non borné dans l'espace de Banach X), en partant des solutions faibles continues $u(t)$ de la même équation, moyennant la formule $v(t) = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}u(t)$, où l'opérateur $(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1} \in L(X)$ existe pour un $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Introduction

In this note we continue previous investigations on the weak solutions of differential equations with unbounded operators in Banach spaces (see [3], [4], [5], [6]). The result which will be explained here consists in the following: if $u(t)$ is a continuous weak solution of an equation of the form $u'(t) - Au(t) = \theta$ on the interval $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, A being a linear densely defined operator in the Banach space X , and if $v(t) = R(\lambda_0, A)u(t)$ where λ_0 is a regular point of the operator A , then $v(t)$ is a regular (strong) solution of the same equation: $v'(t) - Av(t) = \theta$ on (a,b) . Precise statements and the proof are given below.

1. Let X be a Banach space and A be a linear operator with dense domain $D(A) \subset X$ and with range in X too. Consider the dual (or adjoint)

¹ We acknowledge financial support of the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council Canada during the preparation of this work.

operator A^* acting on $D(A^*) \subset X^*$ and with range in X^* - the dual space to X . If (a, b) is an interval of the real line, we define $K_{A^*}(a, b)$ to be the class of all functions $\phi^*(t) \in C^1[(a, b); X^*]$, which are θ near a and b , such that $\phi^*(t) \in D(A^*)$ for all $t \in (a, b)$ and $A^*\phi^* \in C^0[(a, b); X^*]$; the elements of $K_{A^*}(a, b)$ are vector-valued test-functions.

A strongly continuous function $u(t)$, $(a, b) \rightarrow X$ is called weak solution of the differential equation: $u'(t) - A u(t) = \theta$, if the integral identity

$$(1.1) \quad \int_a^b \langle \frac{d}{dt} \phi^*(t) + A^*\phi^*(t), u(t) \rangle dt = 0$$

is satisfied, for all $\phi^* \in K_{A^*}(a, b)$ (here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ means duality between X and X^*). Our aim is to establish the following:

THEOREM. *Let $u(t)$ be a continuous weak solution on the interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ of the differential equation $u'(t) - A u(t) = \theta$, and assume that the operator A has a least one regular point λ_0 . Then the function $v(t) = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1}u(t)$ belongs to $C^1[(a, b); X]$ and verifies the differential equation: $v'(t) - A v(t) = \theta$ on (a, b) in the strong sense.*

2. The proof

LEMMA 1. *The above defined function $v(t)$ is again a continuous weak solution on (a, b) of the same differential equation: $v'(t) - A v(t) = \theta$.*

Consider in fact any test-function $\phi^*(t) \in K_{A^*}(a, b)$. It readily follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b \langle \frac{d}{dt} \phi^* + A^*\phi^*, v \rangle dt = \int_a^b \langle \frac{d}{dt} \phi^* + A^*\phi^*, R(\lambda_0; A)u \rangle dt.$$

Use now a well-known result (see for instance [1], p. 14, Lemma 4.6) to derive that $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A^*)$ (resolvent set of A^*) and the equality $R(\lambda_0; A^*) = (R(\lambda_0; A))^*$. Thus we get the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \phi^* + A^* \phi^*, v \right\rangle dt &= \int_a^b \langle R(\lambda_0; A^*) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \phi^* + A^* \phi^* \right), u \rangle dt \\
 (2.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \int_a^b \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} R(\lambda_0; A^*) \phi^* + A^* R(\lambda_0; A^*) \phi^*, u \right\rangle dt \\
 &= \int_a^b \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \psi^*(t) + A^* \psi^*(t), u(t) \right\rangle dt
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi^*(t) = R(\lambda_0; A^*) \phi^*(t)$. It is quite obvious that the new function $\psi^*(t)$ belongs also to our test-functions space $K_{A^*}(a, b)$ (for instance, one sees that $A^* \psi^* = (A^* - \lambda_0 I + \lambda_0 I) R(\lambda_0; A^*) \phi^* = -\phi^*(t) + \lambda_0 R(\lambda_0; A^*) \phi^*(t)$ which belongs to $C[(a, b); X^*]$). Therefore, the last integral in (2.2) vanishes, as desired. Next, we prove the simple

LEMMA 2. *The function $v(t)$ belongs to $D(A)$ for all $t \in (a, b)$ and $A v(t)$ is a continuous function from (a, b) into X .*

In fact, we have:

$$A v(t) = (A - \lambda_0 I + \lambda_0 I)(\lambda_0 - A)^{-1} u(t) = -u(t) + \lambda_0 R(\lambda_0; A) u(t)$$

which is strongly continuous on (a, b) .

We are now ready for the final part of the proof of the Theorem. Using Lemma 1 and the equality: $\langle \phi^*(t), A v(t) \rangle = \langle A^* \phi^*(t), v(t) \rangle$ we obtain the relation

$$(2.3) \quad \int_a^b \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \phi^*, v \right\rangle dt = - \int_a^b \langle \phi^*(t), A v(t) \rangle dt, \quad \forall \phi^* \in K_{A^*}(a, b).$$

We shall use this equality for a special sequence of functions in $K_{A^*}(a, b)$; precisely, let us take a sequence of (scalar-valued) functions $\{\alpha_m(t)\}_1^\infty \subset C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\alpha_m(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1/m$, $\alpha_m(t) \geq 0$, $\int \alpha_m(\sigma) d\sigma = 1$. Next, let us fix any point t_0 in (a, b) and then consider the X^* -valued function $\phi_m^*(\tau) = \alpha_m(t_0 - \tau) x^*$ where x^* is an arbitrary element in $D(A^*)$. It is obvious that for m sufficiently large (depending on t_0), the above function ϕ_m^* is a test-function-it belongs to $K_{A^*}(a, b)$. At this stage we can infer from the above formula (2.3) the new identity

$$(2.4) \quad \int_a^b \alpha_m'(t_0 - \tau) \langle x^*, v(\tau) \rangle d\tau = \int_a^b \alpha_m(t_0 - \tau) \langle x^*, Av(\tau) \rangle d\tau$$

for all $x^* \in D(A^*)$ and $m \geq m_0(t_0)$ and therefore also the equality

$$(2.5) \quad \langle x^*, \int_a^b \alpha_m'(t_0 - \tau) v(\tau) d\tau \rangle = \langle x^*, \int_a^b \alpha_m(t_0 - \tau) (Av)(\tau) d\tau \rangle$$

again for all $x^* \in D(A^*)$ and $m \geq m_0$. Use now the fact that A has a regular point; it follows that it is a closed linear operator with dense domain, and accordingly, the domain of its adjoint, $D(A^*)$ is a total set in X^* (see [2] for definition and result on total sets). We may derive therefore the equality in X

$$(2.6) \quad \int_a^b \alpha_m'(t_0 - \tau) v(\tau) d\tau = \int_a^b \alpha_m(t_0 - \tau) (Av)(\tau) d\tau, \quad m \geq m_0.$$

Consider now the convolution:

$$(v * \alpha_m)(t) = \int_a^b \alpha_m(t - \tau) v(\tau) d\tau$$

which has a strong derivative

$$(v * \alpha_m)'(t) = \int_a^b \alpha_m'(t - \tau) v(\tau) d\tau.$$

Accordingly, the relation (2.6) can be written as

$$(2.7) \quad (v * \alpha_m)'(t) = ((Av) * \alpha_m)(t), \quad \forall t \in (a, b) \text{ and } m \geq m_0(t).$$

At this point we take again a fixed t_0 in (a, b) , and consider $\delta > 0$ in such a way that $(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \subset (a, b)$. It is now obvious that the above (2.7) will hold for all t in $(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ as soon as m is greater than some m_0 depending on t_0 and $\delta > 0$ only. (For in this case all functions $\alpha_m(t - \tau)$ belong to $C^1_0(a, b)$ as necessary.) We shall now integrate (2.7) between a fixed $\bar{t} \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ and an arbitrary t chosen in the same interval and shall derive

$$(2.8) \quad (v * \alpha_m)(t) = (v * \alpha_m)(\bar{t}) + \int_{\bar{t}}^t ((Av) * \alpha_m)(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

When $m \rightarrow \infty$, using continuity and uniform continuity of v and Av , as well as the

δ -function properties of the sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_1^\infty$ we deduce the equality

$$(2.9) \quad v(t) = v(\bar{t}) + \int_{\bar{t}}^t (Av)(\sigma) d\sigma, \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta).$$

Using strong continuity of the function $(Av)(\sigma)$ one may derive from (2.9) the strong derivability of $v(t)$ in $(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ -hence in all (a, b) -, and the equality

$$(2.10) \quad v'(t) = A v(t)$$

in this same interval. Finally from continuity of Av we deduce that $v(t) \in C^1[(a, b); X]$ and the theorem is proved completely.

References

- [1] FATTORINI, H.O., *The Cauchy problem* (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications), Addison-Wesley Publishing, London, Amsterdam, Tokyo, 1983.
- [2] GOLDBERG, S., *Unbounded Linear Operators, Theory and Applications*, McGraw-Hill Book Co, New York-San Francisco-London, 1966.
- [3] ZAIDMAN, S., *Remarks on weak solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Boll. U.M.I. (4) 9(1974), 638-643.
- [4] ZAIDMAN, S., *The weak Cauchy problem for abstract differential equations*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, Vol. 56 (1977), 1-21.
- [5] ZAIDMAN, S., *Remarks on the well-posed weak Cauchy problem*, Boll. U.M.I. (5) 17-B(1980), 1012-1022.
- [6] ZAIDMAN, S., *Some remarks concerning regularity of solutions for abstract differential equations*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, Vol. 62 (1980), 47-64.

Département de mathématiques
et de statistique
Université de Montréal
Montréal, Québec, Canada

Manuscrit reçu le 3 juin 1985.
Revision le 16 septembre 1985.